



**Brighton & Hove
City Council**

Housing Management Panel

Title:	Housing Management Panel: North Area
Date:	25 October 2018
Time:	7.00pm
Venue	Housing Centre, Moulsecoomb Hub
Members:	Councillors: Meadows (Co-Chair) and Yates (Co-Chair); Ward Councillors for the Area, Delegates of Tenants Association in the area.
Contact:	Gregory Weaver Democratic Services Officer 01273 291214 greg.weaver@brighton-hove.gov.uk

AGENDA

Part One	Page
31 WELCOME & INTRODUCTIONS	
32 APOLOGIES	
33 CHAIR'S COMMUNICATIONS	
34 MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING	5 - 10
Minutes of the meeting held on 6 September 2018 (copy attached).	
35 RESIDENTS QUESTION TIME	11 - 18
Responses to items raised at the Tenant Only Meeting held on 13 September 2018. (Copy attached)	
36 SEASIDE HOMES - FUTURE ELECTION OF COUNCIL TENANT REPRESENTATIVE	
37 FIELD OFFICER UPDATE	
38 A NEW DEAL FOR SOCIAL HOUSING - GOVERNMENT GREEN PAPER AND CONSULTATION	19 - 30
39 FIRE SAFETY UPDATE	31 - 32
40 FUTURE DELIVERY OF HOUSING REPAIRS, PLANNED MAINTENANCE AND CAPITAL WORKS	
41 2019 / 20 BUDGET DISCUSSION	
42 HOUSING MANAGEMENT PERFORMANCE REPORT	
Q2' s report will be available at the next panel meeting. During this item residents will be invited to give their feedback on the format and content of the performance reports that are presented at Area Panel meetings, their suggestions for improvements and how they use them in their role as resident representatives.	
43 CITY WIDE REPORTS	33 - 42
To <u>note</u> the minutes and reports of the following committees and city wide groups. (Copies attached)	

HOUSING MANAGEMENT PANEL: NORTH AREA

1. Leaseholders Action Group
2. Home Service Improvement Group
3. Business & Value for Money Service Improvement Group
4. Tenancy & Neighbourhood Service Improvement Group
5. Involvement & Empowerment Service Improvement Group
6. Tenant Disability Network
7. Seniors' Housing Action Group

44 ANY OTHER BUSINESS

45 DATE OF THE NEXT MEETING

The next meeting will be held on 29 November 2018.

BRIGHTON & HOVE CITY COUNCIL
HOUSING MANAGEMENT PANEL: NORTH AREA

7.00pm 6 SEPTEMBER 2018

HOUSING CENTRE

MINUTES

Present: Councillors Hill (Chair)

Representatives: Desmond Jones (Hollingdean R.A), Terrence Hill (Bates Estate), Sharon Bratton (ECMTRA Area Rep), Theresa Biggs (ECMTRA), Jane Hunter (EMTRA), Andrew Hunter (EMTRA), Dave Murtagh (EMTRA), Walter Sargison (Broadfields)

Officers: Mel Fraser (Performance & Improvement Officer), Grant Ritchie (Lead Consultant Health & Safety), Marcus Richardson (Surveyor & Contract Manager), Debra May (Principle Planning Officer)

Guests: Nick Butler (Area Manager – K&T Heating), Ryan Potter (Regional Manager – K&T Heating)

16 APOLOGIES

16.1 Apologies were received from Councillor Anne Meadows, Catherine Lawrence, Eddie Wilson (Mears General Manager) and Peter O'Connor.

17 CHAIR'S COMMUNICATIONS

18 MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING

18.1 A resident gave corrected some grammatical inconsistencies in the minutes for the previous meeting.

18.2 **RESOLVED** – that the minutes of the previous meetings held on 22 May 2018 be approved and signed as the correct record.

19 ROUND ROBIN

19.1 Residents made the following enquiries, statements and concerns:

- Fly tipping was a growing concern
- A moment of RIP was raised in remembrance of Mr Les Luca
- Concerns were raised regarding Mears' cost estimation
- A resident enquired when Field Officers begin

19.2 Officers responded to residents with the following:

- An officer gave brief explanation of works performed in the past to remedy this and stressed that it was important for residents to contact BHCC
- Field officers were currently in training

20 RESIDENTS QUESTION TIME

20.1 (Item 1 – Service Provided by Resident Involvement Team)

20.2 A resident stated that the TRA handbook was to be brought later in the year.

20.3 **RESOLVED** – that the panel agreed the response was satisfactory.

20.4 (Item 2 – K&T Heating)

20.5 A resident stated there were grammatical errors in the response and welcomed the apology from K&T for installation of wrong equipment.

20.6 An officer stated that response was a joint effort between BHCC and K&T Heating. It was further noted that K&T Heating planned on meeting with residents in future.

20.7 **RESOLVED** – that the panel agreed the response was satisfactory.

21 PRESENTATION ON SECTION 106 FUNDING FROM PRESTON BARRACKS DEVELOPMENT

21.1 An officer gave a brief overview of the general proposal behind Mithras House at Preston Barrack were detailed such as the construction of 1388 student bed spaces, 369 residential units along with an entire portfolio of ongoing proposals. The officer further outlined the allotted Section 106 monies and emphasised that this was about supporting infrastructure and surrounding requirements.

21.2 Residents had the following enquiries, concerns and statements:

- Residents enquired what provision was planned for Moulsecoomb park and the wider Moulsecoomb area as this was the site being built on
- Concerns were expressed regarding the consultation that confirmed the proposed spending of section 106 monies specifically that residents were not part of this and that in their absence; student accommodation and University staff were over represented as potential recipients and beneficiaries
- Residents enquired who was ultimately responsible for the section 106 spending proposals
- It was stated that housing for students constituted a disproportional increase over residents and the Moulsecoomb community
- Concerns were further expressed regarding the impact of parking as a result of an influx of the expected increase of student cars

21.3 Officers responded to residents with the following:

- Although not planned, it was hoped that all Section 106 spending would have a wider community benefit including parks
- An officer stated that the Moulsecoomb Leisure Centre would be receiving £250,000
- It was emphasised that spending proposals were intended to mainly benefit the new residents of Preston Barracks

- It was clarified that there was no bidding process for Section 106 money and that this was determined by the planning process
- It was stated that prospective housing would not just be solely for students and a further viability assessment would be considered
- Parking plans had not yet been finalised

21.4 The Chair clarified the purpose of Section 106 money and emphasised the potential effect of the money in the area. She gave a brief overview of the process followed by planning and the developers.

21.5 **RESOLVED** – that the panel note the report.

22 HOUSING ALLOCATIONS REVIEW

22.1 An officer gave a brief overview of the Housing Allocations Review, various changes following the review in 2016 were presented such as the move to a system to 4 separate queues. It was further noted that work to house homeless people was on target and that efforts to be more proactive to ascertain what people needed within specific queues was underway.

22.2 A resident expressed concerns regarding homeless people and the treatment of Military Veterans.

22.3 Officers responded to resident's concerns and statements with the following:

- There were different regulations in place as social housing was scarce in the city
- Allocation of housing for homeless people had been increased from 17% to 40%
- BHCC were working to get rough sleepers off the street
- A brief overview of the processes in place that addressed the issue of homeless veterans was given

22.4 **RESOLVED** – that the panel noted the report.

23 OPTIONS FOR FUTURE DELIVERY OF HOUSING REPAIRS, PLANNED MAINTENANCE AND CAPITAL WORKS

23.1 Two officers presented the options for future delivery of housing repairs, planned maintenance and capital works. Various details were highlighted such as recent engagement works including attendance at area panels, service improvement groups, workshops, over 1000 door to door surveys and online and postal surveys were conducted.

23.2 A resident enquired what the figure of 28 million pounds comprised of.

23.3 An officer stated that the figure of 28 million pounds was for the entire works.

23.4 **RESOLVED** – that the panel note the report.

24 IMPROVE YOUR ESTATES PROGRAMME

24.1 The panel considered a presentation on the Improve Your Estates Program, it was stated that currently a wide range of projects were being undertaken. Key projects

were detailed such as Housing Officers being employed to address untidy gardens around the city and a discretionary gardening and decorating scheme for people aged over 70 not in receipt of benefits.

24.2 **RESOLVED** – that the panel note the report.

25 HOME PURCHASE POLICY UPDATE

25.1 An officer gave a brief overview of the Home Purchase Policy Update, it was stated that the update followed the review at Housing and New Homes Committee last September. The officer noted that following a year-long pilot, BHCC had purchased some properties and were proceeding with efforts to carry on this enterprise. The officer concluded by stating that more resources had been secured with an initial 2 million pounds having been provisionally agreed and an anticipated budget of 7 Million pounds.

25.2 A resident enquired if this was only for specific areas.

25.3 An officer clarified that this was an across the city purchase.

25.4 **RESOLVED** – that the panel note the report.

26 FIRE SAFETY UPDATE

26.1 The officer gave a brief update on Fire Safety, it was stated that tests of fire doors had been conducted nationally and that BHCC currently had doors that had failed the 30 minutes test. It was confirmed that BHCC had stopped the door replacement scheme and that risk assessments had been completed.

26.2 A resident enquired if a timescale of events was available.

26.3 An officer stated that it was hoped an update would be available in the 4 weeks.

26.4 **RESOLVED** – that the panel note the report.

27 HOUSING MANAGEMENT PERFORMANCE REPORT QUARTER 1

27.1 An officer gave a brief overview of the Housing Management Performance Report Quarter 1, various points of information were presented including that BHCC now had access to the system and were able to see when people's rent payments were in arrears and that a special focus on rent collection was under way.

27.2 Residents had the following enquiries, statements and concerns:

- It was enquired if windows replacement constituted major works
- Summary of lifts was confusing

27.3 Officers responded with the following:

- It was confirmed that windows replacement did not constitute major works
- Clarified the results regarding lifts

27.4 **RESOLVED** – that the panel note the report.

28 CITY WIDE REPORTS

28.1 **RESOLVED** – that the panel note the report.

29 ANY OTHER BUSINESS

30 DATE OF NEXT MEETING

30.1 The date of the next meeting is 25 October 2018.

The meeting concluded at 20:58.

Signed

Chair

Dated this

day of

Questions from Residents

Item from the North Residents Only Meeting 13/9/18

Question: Reports at Area Panel

There was agreement that the Council's quarterly performance reports given at the Area Panel are not useful, despite the frequent changes to the format. There is still too much information on specifics, which are hard to follow and more detailed than needed quarterly.

The meeting asked for some constructive thinking – involving residents, officers and Councillors - about what information is necessary and useful for Area Panels, and in what format. One comment received was that this type of information is only necessary in one out of four Area Panels, or annually.

Response

I am sorry to hear that residents in the North Area do not find the performance report and summary overview, useful. These are intended to give residents information on how each part of the Housing service is performing through the use of a traffic light rating system and for this to inform discussion, questions and requests for further information.

In response to the North resident only meeting's suggestion an item has been included in the agenda for each of the four Area Panels on how residents would like to receive this information in future, if at all.

Hilary Edgar, Housing Service Operations Manager, Telephone 01273 293250

Item from the West Resident Association Meeting 11/9/18

Question: Blocked drains

The group agreed there needs to be regular maintenance of drains in order to prevent blockages and to reduce risk of flooding and expensive, disruptive clean-ups. There was concern that blockages are already developing and that the situation will get worse as it gets colder. It was agreed to ask if drain clearance is happening as part of ongoing maintenance and if there's a schedule for this which could be shared with resident associations.

Response

We do not feel that a planned programmed of maintenance would be cost effective for this type of work across all of the housing stock. Where we are aware of specific issues in blocks or areas we will put in a programme of checks to ensure problems are addressed before they have a significant impact, where this can be anticipated. We aim to rectify the issues on drainage as soon as they arise, and residents should continue to report these to the repairs help desk on Freephone 0800 052 6140 or our local number 01273 294409, or email us at BHCC.repairs@mearsgroup.co.uk

We will continue to review our approach to planned maintenance programmes alongside our contractors and where it is felt that this would be effective both in terms of cost and addressing potential issues we will pursue this as an option.

If residents have concerns about a specific drain or soakaway, please let me know as there may be an underlying issue that needs to be investigated.

**Miles Davidson, Housing Sustainability & Affordable Warmth Manager,
Telephone 01273 293150**

Item from the Central Residents Only meeting 21/9/18

Question: Leaseholder charges

Jane Thorp gave the background to the situation with major works and charges to leaseholders at Hanover RA (previously Highden, Westmount and Crownhill).

- *In 2015 residents were told that they would be getting new roofs.*
- *There was then no further information about this for some time. When residents followed it up, they were told that the work had been delayed.*
- *In 2016 an individual resident made a Freedom of Information request, which they then made public. This said that the planned work was for new roofs, windows and cladding. When residents followed this up, they were told by Martin Reid that the only work planned was for new roofs.*
- *At a recent Residents Association meeting a leaseholder said that the sale of her flat had just collapsed, as it was discovered that there were major works scheduled which would cost each leaseholder £44,000. This is for roofs, windows and cladding.*
- *Geoff Gage, who was at the meeting, then told residents that this work would not happen until after 2020 and was under review.*

Jane Thorp said this experience exemplified the disrespectful and unreasonable way that the Council treated their leaseholders and made the following points:

- *Communication with leaseholders is practically non-existent and often contradictory. Jane has been trying for years, with no success, to get clear information on the situation with major works at Highden, Westmount and Crownhill.*
- *Leaseholders only found out about possible bills of £44,000 when someone tried to sell their flat. This is not acceptable.*
- *After years of neglect, all of the work is done at once. A responsible landlord would have ensured regular maintenance and replacement which would keep the properties in better condition and spread bills over a more manageable time scale. It is totally unreasonable to suddenly spring huge bills on leaseholders.*
- *For an unspecified period of time, leaseholders will be in a situation where they are unable to sell their flats, face the prospect of huge bills and have uncertainty over their futures. This is not acceptable.*
- *The bottom line responsibility of the Council must be to provide accurate, clear information well in advance to their leaseholders. Consultation must take place at an early stage and throughout the process.*

It was agreed that these concerns need to be raised at Area Panel.

Response

The discussion at the Hanover Residents meeting concerned a request by a leaseholder prior to sale of their property as to whether there was future works planned for the blocks.

As requested, and in line with our agreed approach to transparency and early information sharing on prospective works costs, we responded with an estimate and brief details of possible works that may be considered within the next five years. As part of this response an estimated cost was placed on these works.

It was stressed that the costs were an estimate only and that the final schedule of works had not been agreed nor confirmed.

The leaseholder contribution is an estimate only at this time.

The full extent and specification for the works has not been agreed and will not be finalised until Spring 2019 with a view to tendering the project in 2020, but no firm start date is programmed.

The works to the blocks have been considered for a number of years and in various forms. However, there will be a complete review of the specifications received and works required for tender purposes.

Residents and leaseholders have been aware of the possibility of proposed works since 2014.

As part of our resident engagement we will offer the various options that are available for the works. The provision of external wall insulation will not be considered as an option following Planning considerations. However, this cannot be provided until we have reconsidered the works. We will be considering repointing, brickwork repairs and cavity wall insulation.

Until such time as we have budget costs for the works we cannot offer firm costings, anything at this stage is estimated.

With regard to several packages of works being carried out at once. This is one consideration but not firm, we will offer residents alternatives.

One of the high costs of any project is scaffold and access and therefore to split the works in stages does result in this being charged on each stage. Hence our suggestion that we encompass all works as one project.

From a leasehold point of view consideration should be given to these several phases of works as it is possible that the end cost over a period of say 15 years may exceed the 'one off' cost. We would offer these alternatives at engagement.

With regard to repairs, elements of the structure do have a useful life span and many elements do reach the end of this and hence replacement is the only option over further patch repairs. In particular, roofing and cavity wall insulation. It can of course be argued that major repair works would require access (scaffold) and costs would likely be high as a result and we do therefore consider as to whether such repairs are cost effective.

There is a question of how much notice do we give for future major works, this could be a number of years, but at all times budgets lead the works planned and advance notice of say five years may not mean the works will be carried out in that period of time.

To be able to advise residents of proposed works we need to have in place a firm specification and details of all elements of the works proposed and a reasonably accurate estimate of the costs involved. Ideally we would prefer to tender the works and have an accurate figure to present; hence long term advice is not always practical or appropriate.

We also offer a range of payment support options to residential leaseholders who may face charges for major works.

**Martin Reid, Head of Housing Strategy, Property & Investment, Telephone
01273 293321**

Items from the East Residents Only Meeting 6/9/18

Question: Estate Development Budget – Main bids

Deadline for bids

The deadline for submission of bids is usually November, for a decision the following April. However, in June this year Associations were told that the deadline would be the end of July. This left insufficient time for Associations to plan consultation with local residents, put information in newsletters or hold meetings. As a result, several Associations did not submit bids by the deadline and there are fewer bids this year. The meeting felt that Associations which hadn't yet submitted their bids should be allowed to do so.

Fencing

There seems to be some inequality in the process for submitting bids for fencing. Woodingdean submits bids for fencing each year, but some other Associations are told that they cannot submit fencing bids, even when there are issues of safety. There is now a proposal from the council to remove fencing from the EDB list of permitted items. This would lead to a further imbalance between areas where there are communal areas and facilities owned by Housing, and areas where these don't really exist (such as Woodingdean, Manor Farm and most of Whitehawk).

Action

The meeting agreed to submit a request to the Area Panel on the future of the Estate Development Budget and the inclusion of fencing in the budget.

It is requested that the proposal to remove fencing from the EDB list be reconsidered, taking into account:

- *the significant impact this can have on an overall area*
- *the lack of other opportunities to improve an area where there aren't any Housing owned communal buildings or areas.*

Response

Deadline for bids

The council's internal audit team carried out an audit of the Estates Development Budget in 2017. The audit identified that additional steps were needed in the procedure for processing and pricing bids to ensure there is contract compliance and value for money for the EDB as with other areas of work carried out within the repairs partnership. As a result of the audit the Property & Investment team now check a percentage of Mears' quotes for EDB work before the April voting panels.

To allow for this to take place, this year's application form for EDB main bids advised 'tenants associations should hold a general meeting to discuss potential bids before the third week in July...' and that 'draft bids should be sent to RIT@brighton-hove.gov.uk by the end of July 2018 with final bids being submitted by Friday 16 November 2018.

By early September we had received over 90 bids from groups, which is no less than in previous years. However, in hindsight I can see that having two dates may have been confusing and it may have been simpler to have one earlier date, for example the end of September for bids to be submitted. We will make this change next year.

The current deadline remains mid November. However, to allow time for pricing and checking we do need to know what type of bid an association is considering before then. This allows officers to offer support, carry out checks and plan for pricing when the bid is submitted.

Fencing

A task and finish group of residents is currently reviewing the EDB scheme. This group is working on a set of proposals to go forward for wider consultation, so that all residents have an opportunity to comment on changes that are being considered.

There has been discussion on whether fencing to individual properties should, or should not, be on the list of items that residents can bid for and the group's final decision on this will be included in recommendations that come to a future panel. No decision has yet been made on this issue.

Any changes that are agreed will come into effect for the 2020/21 round of bidding; there are no changes to the current guidelines, which permit fencing to individual properties, and so associations can still bid for funding for this in the 2019/20 programme.

Hilary Edgar, Housing Service Operations Manager, Telephone 01273 293250

Area Panel: 15, 16, 17 & 25 October 2018
Briefing Paper: A new deal for social housing;
Government Green Paper and
consultation

Introduction

A briefing paper is attached about the government's green paper on social housing. It has been prepared by the council's corporate policy team and sets out the content of the green paper and areas where the government would like feedback.

Residents are asked to read this briefing before the Area Panel meetings and to prepare for discussion at the meetings on the consultation questions.

Contact:

Hilary Edgar, Housing Service Operations Manager

e: Hilary.Edgar@brighton-hove.gov.uk

t: 01273 29 3250



BHCC Policy Network Briefing

Subject: “A New Deal for Social Housing” Government Green Paper and Consultation

Date: August 2018

Audience: Corporate Policy Network

Author: Lauren Eagle, PPS Officer, lauren.eagle@brighton-hove.gov.uk

Purpose of the briefing

A concise summary of the Government Green Paper “A New Deal for Social Housing”

Recommendations

To note the proposals contained within the report and to contribute to the government consultation as appropriate

What is the subject?

Context

- Social housing makes up around 1/5 of all households
- Social housing is housing to rent below market level rents or to buy through schemes such as shared ownership.
- It is made available to help those whose needs are not served by the market.
- Registered providers are financially regulated and funded by the government through the [Homes and Communities Agency](#)
- Guideline target rents are determined through the national rent regime. Social Rent levels take into account a measure of relative local earnings as well as relative property values. It is typically set at around 50-60 per cent of market rents. “Affordable Rent” was introduced in 2011 to support building more new homes below market rents. Affordable Rent levels are set at a maximum of 80 per cent of the market rent.
- Since the 1980s there has been a shift towards most of the provision of social homes being by housing associations, through a combination of homes transferred from local authorities, and housing associations mainly taking over the role of building new social homes.
- Around 14 per cent of social housing is supported housing (accommodation provided alongside support, to help people live independently) and intended to support the

most vulnerable in our society, (older people, people with mental ill health, learning disabilities, physical and sensory disabilities, autistic adults, care leavers, people fleeing domestic abuse, rough sleepers, those with drug and alcohol dependencies, vulnerable ex-service personnel and ex-offenders).

- Age makeup of social housing residents is similar to the general demographic.
- 43 per cent of all black households live in the social rented sector, compared to 16 per cent of white households and 25 per cent of all ethnic minority households.
- 43 per cent of social rented households are in full or part-time work. This is lower than the average for all households (60 per cent).
- Half of social households have at least one member with a long term illness or disability.
- There is very little movement into owner occupation. However 2/3 residents aspire to home ownership.

What are the solutions?

Stated Objectives of the Green Paper

- Improving fairness, quality and safety for residents living in social housing
- Rebalancing the relationship between tenants and landlords, strengthening protections for tenants
- Tackling stigma
- Ensuring that social housing can support people to achieve home ownership

5 Key priorities

- A safe and decent home (the government sees this is fundamental to a sense of security)
- Swift and effective resolution so that when residents have concerns about the safety or standard of their home they see results.
- Empowering residents and holding landlords to account.
- Tackling stigma
- Building the social homes that we need and ensuring that social homes can act as a springboard to home ownership.

Who does it affect and how?

- BHCC Housing Development / Housing Management / Properties / Transport /

Planning / EEC

- Housing Associations
- Social Housing residents
- Social Housing Landlords
- Communities and community groups
- Existing tenants, residents on housing registers, and all residents seeking affordable homes to rent or buy

Who supports it?

National government

Responses to Social Housing Green Paper

Organisation	Response
National Housing Federation (Represents social landlords to around 6m people)	<p>Supports Government's commitment to ensuring tenants get quality services and can hold their landlords to account if they don't.</p> <p>However, Green Paper needs to address effects of recent welfare reforms that have caused real hardship for tenants.</p> <p>Supports building and investment in more social housing. To achieve the ambition of a future where everyone can access a quality home they can afford we need to build 90,000 new social rent homes every year.</p>
LGA	<p>Councils need freedoms and powers to invest in new and existing housing that is genuinely affordable. The loss of social housing means councils are currently spending more and more on housing benefit to supplement expensive rents instead of investing in genuinely affordable homes.</p> <p>Calls on Government to go further:</p> <p>Scrap the housing borrowing cap, and enable all councils, across the country, to borrow to build.</p> <p>Allow councils to set Right to Buy discounts locally and to keep 100 per cent of receipts from homes sold.</p> <p>Welcomes abandonment of plans to force the sale of council homes and recommends that decisions on council owned housing stock transfer are best made at local level by councils and residents.</p>

<p>Homeless Link (National charity for organisations working with homeless in England)</p>	<p>Expressed disappointment at lack of additional funding to build</p>
<p>Joseph Roundtree Foundation</p>	<p>Endorses view that the minimum the Green Paper needs to do is commit to an additional 30,000 homes per year, to bridge the gap between existing supply and newly arising need for affordable homes (of all types).</p> <p>Research for Crisis and the National Housing Federation suggests that if Government wants to meet current needs and address backlog needs amongst those on the lowest incomes, it needs to go further, to 90,000 a year.</p> <p>The 80% marker rent 'Affordable Rent' model is not realistically affordable to those on low incomes. This puts pressure on Housing Benefit. 'Affordable Rents' should mean Living Rents, which have a direct link to local earnings.</p> <p>Shared-ownership schemes remain out of reach for all but 3% of new social tenants. The government should focus on ensuring supply of homes to let at genuinely low social rents.</p>
<p>Women's aid (National charity working to end domestic abuse against women and children.)</p>	<p>Welcomes announcement that legislation to phase out lifetime tenancies will not be going ahead. But leaving decision to offer secure tenancies 'at discretion' of local authorities is not enough to protect domestic abuse survivors</p> <p>Calls on the government to urgently bring forward promised legislation to protect lifetime tenancies for survivors of domestic abuse. While awaiting legislation, the government needs to make it clear that all local authorities must ensure that survivors retain their lifetime tenancies after fleeing domestic abuse.</p>

Is it already happening locally?

N/a

How does it fit with our Corporate Plan?

Supports our purpose of:

A good life

Ensuring a city for all ages, inclusive of everyone and protecting the most vulnerable.

Via providing housing at reduced rents to support the vulnerable and to help those whose needs are not served by the market.

A vibrant economy

Promoting a world class economy with a local workforce to match.

Ensures the workforce needed to match job market demand can afford to live in the city.

- Supports our principle of increased equality and service priority of a A strong, sustainable economy that creates employment opportunity along with decent, affordable housing.

SWOT analysis

A full SWOT analysis will be completed when consultation closes and government response is issued.

Options consideration

Until Government response to the consultation is known there is no immediate action for the council to take.

However, CPN members are encouraged to note the proposals contained within the report and to contribute to the government consultation as appropriate

A grid containing a detailed breakdown of the Government's priorities, intended actions and consultation questions is included as a separate document below.

Links to further information

[Consultation document](#)

How to Respond

Enquiries:	During the consultation, if you have any enquiries, please contact: SocialHousingGreenPaper@communities.gsi.gov.uk
How to respond:	Consultation responses should be submitted by online survey: https://www.surveymonkey.co.uk/r/A_new_deal_for_social_housing

For further information on the Corporate Policy Network please contact
Lead Officer for Policy, Partnerships & Scrutiny: **Simon Newell**
Email: Simon.Newell@brighton-hove.gov.uk Tel: 01273291128



Principle	Actions / intentions	Consultation questions
1- A safe and decent home	<p>Fire safety</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • £400 million funding for local authorities and housing associations to remove and replace unsafe aluminium composite material cladding on social residential buildings • Information for residents on safety, giving clear rights and responsibilities <p>Decent Standards</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Decent Homes Standard to be reviewed to consider whether it is demanding enough • Allowing councils to continue to have choice over their use of fixed term tenancies, enabling them to offer residents greater security in their homes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How can residents best be supported to work with landlords to ensure homes are safe? • Should new safety measures in the private rented sector also apply to social housing? • Are there any changes to what constitutes a Decent Home that we should consider? • Do we need additional measures to make sure social homes are safe and decent?
2- Swift and effective resolution so that when residents have concerns about the safety or standard of their home they see results.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Speed up complaints process, improve access to effective dispute resolution, increase support and advocacy for tenants to seek redress • Considering bringing together redress schemes into a single housing ombudsman service • Strengthening mediation opportunities available for tenants and landlords • Review 'Democratic Filter' system for ombudsman complaints 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How to strengthen mediation opportunities for landlords and residents? • Should we improve/ remove the "democratic filter" for ombudsman complaints? • How can we ensure that residents understand how best to escalate a complaint and access the right advice and support? • How can we ensure that landlords' processes for dealing with complaints are fast and effective? • How can we best ensure safety concerns are handled swiftly and effectively?

<p>3- Empowering residents and holding landlords to account.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strengthening the Regulator of Social Housing. • Reviewing objectives and standards to ensure landlords and residents have a shared understanding of good property management • Landlords to be assessed via KPIs, which will be published along with league tables • Exploring options for financial incentivisation and penalisation, linking funding eligibility to KPI performance • Improving landlord engagement with residents • National lobbying platform for residents • Considering a new stock transfer programme transferring local authority housing to community-based housing associations. • Considering how best to support residents to take on some of their own services/ and whether appetite is there 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Comments on proposed KPI system • How could reporting outcomes of landlords' complaint handling be improved? • Could resident engagement and scrutiny measures be improved? • Is there a need for a stronger lobbying representation for residents at a national level? • Would there be interest in a programme to promote the transfer of local authority housing to community-based housing associations? • Are Tenant Management Organisations fit for purpose and delivering positive outcomes? • Are there benefits to models that support residents to take on some of their own services? • Should the Regulator have increased powers towards local authority landlords? • Should the Government take to make the Regulator more accountable to Parliament?
<p>4- Tackling stigma</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Considering ways to recognise creation of positive communities. Awards could include investment to support successful initiatives to grow, or funding for an event or a street 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How could we support or deliver a best neighbourhood competition? • What more could be done to tackle stigma? • How to encourage the professionalisation of

	<p>party to bring people together.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Encourage the professionalisation of housing management and courteous and respectful customer service standards as norm • Promotion of good quality design, quality and energy efficient buildings and public spaces • The National Planning Policy Framework sets out policies that support this aim 	<p>housing management to high quality customer service standards?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How can planning guidance support good design in the social sector? • How can we involve social housing residents in the planning and design of new developments?
<p>5- (a) Building the social homes that we need</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ambition is to extend the opportunity of home ownership to as many social tenants as possible, with the responsibility to maintain and increase the stock of social housing for those who need it • Supporting Local Authorities to build more: • Raising the housing borrowing cap by up to £1 billion • New rent settlement of Consumer Price Index +1 per cent • Considering allowing local authorities to keep the Right to Buy receipts they already hold for longer than the current three years to ensure the receipts can be used alongside the borrowing cap increase. (Consultation open) • Repealing part of Housing and Planning Act 2016 that would compel councils to make a payment in respect of their vacant higher 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How can government support communities to deliver new build community-led homes? • What level of additional affordable housing, over existing investment plans, could be delivered by social housing providers if they were given longer term certainty over funding?

	<p>value council homes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New £163 million Homes England programme of funding for community groups bringing housebuilding projects forward and capital funding for local authorities to provide local enabling infrastructure (such as access roads) • Decision not to implement Housing and Planning Act 2016 rules restricting use of lifetime tenancies by local authority landlords. 	
<p>(b) And ensuring that those homes can act as a springboard to home ownership.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • £200 million large-scale pilot (in the Midlands) of the Voluntary Right to Buy for housing association tenants this summer. (While monitoring housing associations' ability to keep pace in replacing the homes lost to Right To Buy • Remove barriers to shared ownership as a route to full home ownership • Rent to Buy scheme 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How can we best support providers to develop new shared ownership products that enable people to build up more equity in their homes?

Sprinkler Installation in High Rise Blocks

This briefing is to update Area panels on the works we have been doing on the proposed installation of residential sprinklers to high rise blocks and to explain the next steps.

We have included the responses to our consultation exercise from both Essex Place and St James's House.

Following the adoption of recommendations made to committee for a joint funded project with ESFRS at St James's House and Essex Place we have been going through a process of consultation and procurement.

The consultation has included:

- Letters to all residents at each block;
- A fact sheet including frequently asked questions;
- A public meeting attended by ESFRS and council representatives held at each block;
- A statutory consultation process with leaseholders in each block;
- A door to door survey of each block.

Having received feedback from the first round of consultation we have gone back to the potential supplier and made changes to improve the appearance of the pipework boxing in the common way and added in further control measures in the system to improve the identification of an activation.

Although meetings were well attended we are keen to ensure that we have provided as many residents as possible with the opportunity to comment and therefore we have undertaken a door to door survey to establish the opinion within the two blocks for our proposal. If residents were out when we called we left a form for them to complete and leave in ballot boxes placed in the entrances of each block.

The door to door survey provided the greatest number of responses of any of the methods of resident engagement. All flats were included in the door to door regardless of ownership. The survey asked if residents supported the proposal to install sprinklers in both flats and the common way. If residents indicated they did not we also asked if their lack of support was due to cost, appearance or concerns over leaks.

- In St James's House 61% (74 flats) of residents provided answers to our question of those 50% (37 flats) support the proposal to fit a complete system.
- At Essex Place 46% (59 flats) of residents responded to our question of those 38% (23 flats) support the proposal to fit a complete system.

The greatest concern expressed by residents was the appearance of the system in their flats closely followed by the fear of leaks. Cost was less of a concern but that was to be expected as only leaseholders would be asked for a financial contribution.

Although many residents did not support the instillation of a system in their flat they did support a system in the common ways.

In light of these results and recognising the Committees wish that residents support the scheme we have reviewed our proposal and intend to offer a hybrid instillation. This will be communicated to residents both by letter and at resident meetings to be held at each block.

- A full sprinkler instillation in the common parts and ancillary rooms such as bin stores laundry rooms etc.
- Each resident will be offered a full sprinkler instillation in their flat.
- Residents who do not wish to take up this offer will be asked to accept a single sprinkler head close to the flat entrance door. This is intended to protect the common escape routes and contain a fire within the flat of origin.

Once we have completed the consultation process with St James's House and Essex Place residents we propose to start engagement with residents at Theobald House, Nettleton Court and Dudeney Lodge.

The current scheme of co funding with East Sussex Fire and Rescue will not be extended past the installations at Essex Place and St James's House. The funding was provide to set up this pilot scheme and ESFR are keen to use their funding to influence other landlords and block owners to introduce schemes such as ours. They continue to support our aims for the instillation of sprinkler systems across our estate of High Rise residential accommodation and will continue to attend public meetings and consultations.

Service Improvement Groups & City Wide Groups

Update since last Area Housing Panel meetings

1. Leaseholders Action Group

No meeting since the last Area Panels

2. Home Service Improvement Group

Latest agreed minutes are attached.

3. Business & Value for Money Service Improvement Group

August meeting; contributed to consultation on the future repairs contract, agreed draft of publication to encourage involvement and promote transport options, reviewed Welfare Reform Quarterly Report and document offering support to Universal Credit claimants and agreed guest speakers for CityWide Conference Breakout Group. Minutes to be agreed at November meeting.

4. Tenancy & Neighbourhood Service Improvement Group

August meeting discussed; grounds maintenance, sensitive lettings, social isolation. Minutes to be agreed at November meeting.

5. Involvement & Empowerment Service Improvement Group

Latest agreed minutes are attached.

6. Tenant Disability Network

No meeting since the last Area Panels

7. Seniors' Housing Action Group

No meeting since the last Area Panels

Meeting	Home Service Improvement Group		
Attendees	<p>Residents: Alison Gray (Chair & West Area Panel Rep) Carl Boardman & Jason Williams (Central Area (APR)) Terrence Hill (North APR), Dave Croydon (Leaseholders Action Group) Tomm Nyhuus (Seniors Housing Action Group) Lynn Bennett, Gary Jones, Muriel Briault, Emel Abdelmissih, Eddie Cope, Jane Thorp, Ian Beck (Recruited residents)</p> <p>Staff: Glyn Huelin (Business & Performance Manager) Hannah Barker (Resident Involvement Officer) Eddie Wilson (Mears General Manager) Sharon Davies (Business & Performance Project Manager) Alex Fox (Home Energy Efficiency Project Manager)</p> <p>Observers: David Spafford, Des Jones (residents)</p>		
Apologies	Chris El-Shabbah, Anne Glow, Mary Harding		
Venue	Conference Room	Produced by	Hannah Barker
Date/ Time	12 June 2018 11:00- 13:00	Completed	14.06.18

1. Update on actions from previous Home meeting, DATE

Corrections to attendance list.

1.1 Re communal corridors policy on personal items: The resident who raised the question has received a response from the Central Tenancy team, and it's been allocated to be reviewed. Other than that, if still unhappy, it needs to be raised elsewhere and not at the Home Group - which can only look at the work of the Property & Investment Team

1.2 Re special sockets to prevent theft of electricity: whenever a housing officer or tenancy team discover the issue in a property they raise the job to Mears straight away. There is no city wide programme for it as such so it would need to be dealt with case by case.

5. Glyn remind Marcus to send Gary information about tenders on St Johns Mount. **(ACTION 1)**

Observation: Windows replacement program circulated after last mtg only goes up to 2020.

2. Subgroup report: Partnership Core Group, resident representatives

{ref Partnership Core Group report Covering meetings: 7 February and 17 April 2018}

First meeting of financial year, so some targets not met because waiting on invoices to come in. Some staff changeover, including Tamsin who supported reps. Janine Healey will be taking over support.

Fencing discussed. Current policy fencing = tenants responsibility. Housing will only replace where a shed is removed for health & safety reasons which formed part of boundary. Very small budget (info via Housing customer Services) for use where risks to young children apparent. Estates Development Budget review potential suggestions that fencing would come out of EDB.

3. Subgroup report: Resident Inspectors, resident representatives.

{ref Minutes 26.04.18, empty property inspection report 26.04.18 }

Empty Property Inspections: hoping more inspectors will assist to complete the group report sheet on visits. Mikila Beck at Mears has been added to the process of reporting.

Discussion:

- **Decoration assistance:** 2 types of help – (1) [Discretionary decorating Scheme](#) which tenants can apply for (2) support when tenants move into a new flat
- **Decoration Lettable Standard:** it will be left ready for decoration
- ...departing resident has responsibility to return property to this state
- **Carpets:** If a carpet left by an outgoing tenant is in a reasonable condition then Housing will leave it or remove if in a poor condition.
- **Kitchens:** tenants advised to get permission before installing a new kitchen
- **Decking in garden:** assessed for safety, has to comply with certain height from ground.
- **Laminate flooring:** assessed for suitability for noise disturbance and quality
- **A Housing Officer will advise** a departing resident on what they are responsible to put back or be recharged. If a tenant has died then the responsibility falls to the family/estate.

Leaseholders representation and involvement discussion:

- Differing views within Home individuals
- Some suggested discrimination had been occurring according to where any Leaseholder lives.

City Council Housing's view: Resident Involvement structure is to be accessible to all leaseholders and we would be seeking not to discriminate. There are 2 current questions that have been raised which we are working on to clarify for everyone.

1. There has been an issue raised about the Area Panels terms of reference which is old and perhaps now not fit for purpose. There is a Housing Committee report about Leaseholder engagement.
2. There have also been discussions about the work of the Resident Inspectors, which was not designed with any tenure in main, only stakeholders. Glyn has an action to discuss this with colleagues; clarification and guidance will be offered in due course to the Resident Inspectors.

4. Subgroup report: Estate Development Budget Panel, resident representatives.

Progress report:

- Concern about lack of communications with Community Payback
- EDB team working well since staff changeover and reporting working well
- Short term & long term changes/ review, new rules on number of bids groups can submit. Panel looking forward to start of EDB Review
- Structure of agenda of panel meeting altered to allow more time for Quick Bids
- 6 or 7 main bids outstanding from up to 4 years ago. Some concern these slip off the report, need to try to get them cleared.
- [EDB main bid work programme 2018-2019](#) progress Report is available on website.
- 2019-20 budget will be similar to this year £320K (after Mears overheads) 2020-21 we expect a significant drop over 50% to approx. £150K (which is why the EDB Review is very necessary)
- Suggestion EDB been used inappropriately to prop up actions that housing 'should' be

doing; need to refocus on beneficial community projects.

- EDB finance is ringfenced but not within area. E.g. if East Area does not allocate all its funding the underspend the following year is returned to the city pot and redistributed across all areas.(according to number of properties) this point, amongst others, will be discussed as part of the EDB Review.

Q: Why is maintenance of communal carpets or window cleaning not on a program? **Partial A:** typically we avoid installation of carpets because of ongoing costs. **(ACTION 4 – + possible future agenda item)**

5. Insulation and Energy Strategy - Alex Fox

{ref: **Briefing Note – Insulation and Energy Strategy**}

- The vast majority of our stock has wall and loft insulation installed in 1980's-90's

Q: Are Manor Farm walls done? **(ACTION 5.1)** (poor insulation experiences reported)

- Our next step is to check the stock Energy performance standards and plan for future measures, and it includes looking at what kind of windows & heating as well as insulation. There is historical data that can be accessed if residents wish for their own home.

(ACTION 5.2 – AF to check data protection)

- Suggestion that studying (not just *looking*) at the condition and thermal qualities of windows should be higher priority in the strategy. **(ACTION 5.3 – Alex to report back)**
- SHINE project is about behaviour change in the home. Scope is limited, EU funded. Residents asked how to resource advice/ get involved. **(ACTION 5.4 - on Agenda for next meeting)**
- Determining the “capital carbon costs”/footprint of proposed developments is difficult and expensive to do and we would need a business case to include considering that as part of this strategy.
- Currently Alex is the only employed staff member with appropriate skills to develop the Energy Plan, so capacity will be brought in as consultants. There will be a business case drawn up for that which will go to HLT for approval, and Housing Committee if needed.
- Sussex Tariff will be advertised as a cheaper energy deal for residents to switch to as the opportunity grows.
- Suggestion from resident that the strategy document is a bit 'light'. Alex said he had to weigh up getting this initial strategy through Housing Committee to set out a principle for Housing, this is all new and has been widely welcomed.

Q: Is Clarendon & Ellen Low rise being considered? (poor insulation experiences reported)

(ACTION 5.5) Glyn to check

Info: if residents experience extreme cold support/ help is provided.

Q: When will Hollingdean cavity wall and loft insulation be replaced and double glazed replaced rather than repaired?

(ACTION 5.6: Alex to check insulation program)

ACTION 5.7: Glyn / P&I to check window replacement programme?)

6. What Resident Engagement activities will there be around the procurement for

Housing repairs, planned maintenance and capital works contract - Sharon Davies

{ref: Briefing note - Update on Options programme for future delivery of housing repairs and maintenance}

- March Housing committee first report went well and work is picking up a pace
- Glyn and Martin Reid (Head Property & Investment) attended the Leaseholders Action Group in April to get feedback to date.
- Drafting questionnaire for all tenants leaseholders stakeholders on what people feel about the currently delivery.
- This will be available online, in paper copies and be circulated and advertised through all channels as widely as possible, at Associations
- Face to face meetings and workshops in the late summer, run by independent will be organised through the different types of stock. Aim is for talking to 10% of stock residents. 20% of total stock is Leasehold.
- Updates will be shared on social media and Homing in too.
- No decision will be taken until after all this consultation, September/ October 2018 we should know how it will look going forward and after then begin formal procurement. There will be further opportunities to be engaged in this process too.

Discussion about all types of tenure affected: Such as Seaside Homes, non resident leaseholder who lets property to a social tenant and others. Perhaps helpful to consider the contractual relationship in effect.

Suggestion to use phrase 'stakeholders' to simplify all the different types of tenure people might reside in.

- Change of administration is an acknowledged risk that is being mitigated by engaging with Councillors at different committees; doing all we can to support Councillors
- Option to separate different types of work is being considered and we can tease this out at the workshops.

Questions about Southern Gas Networks (SGN) installation of ducting on outside of blocks after new cladding. Aesthetics criticized by residents and Property & investment alike. Gas pipes are nothing to do with the Housing Dept. Law allows SGN to instal without consulting owners of blocks. Their plans were unknown before cladding put on blocks. Some residents weren't consulted, others were (when SGN required access inside properties) **(ACTION 6 – Glyn to feedback/ pick up with Geof)**

7. Resident Action Plan, call for items and anything from Area Panels? – officers and residents

Request to **clarify purpose** of the Home Resident Action Plan: to record major items or questions residents raise to keep officers accountable, help to keep track and as a record if the issue is raised again.

(ACTION 7 – update on Somerset point cladding item)

8. Any Other Business

- Thank you to Alison for her strength & courage attending the first half of this meeting as chair despite the recent car accident injuries.
- Request for the chair to check at the end of each item " If the meeting feels this is something to come back to or if we are happy to close?"

Actions	Description	
1	Remind Marcus to send Gary information about tenders on St Johns Mount.	Glyn
4	Why is maintenance of communal carpets or window cleaning not on a program – possible future agenda item	Glyn
5.1	Are Manor Farm walls insulated done? (feedback to Lyn)	Alex
5.2	There is historical data that can be accessed if residents wish for their own home. - check data protection	Alex
5.3	Suggestion that looking at the condition and thermal qualities of windows should be higher priority in the strategy.– report back	Alex
5.4	SHINE project is about behavior change in the home. Scope is limited, EU funded. (on Agenda for next meeting)	Hannah/ Alison
5.5	Is Clarendon & Ellen Low rise being considered for roof / wall insulation	Alex
5.6	When will Hollingdean cavity wall and loft insulation be replaced, check insulation program	Alex
5.7	When will Hollingdean double glazing replaced rather than repaired? check window replacement programme?	Glyn
6	Feedback/ pick up with Geof re SGN installation s- does BHCC not have a say over how it looks? Do we have any control?	Glyn
7	update on Somerset point cladding item)	Glyn

Future Meeting dates - Conference Room, Housing Centre - 11.00-13.30, 20th September, 5th December

Meeting	Involvement & Empowerment Service Improvement Group		
Attendees	Carl Boardman, Tony McCoy, Chris El Shabbah, Jason Williams, Jane Thorp, Diana Ward Davis, Terrence Hill, Trevor Jones (BHCC), Sharon Terry (BHCC) and Hilary Edgar (BHCC).		
Apologies	Anne Glow, Alison Gray, Muriel Briault, Satti Sivapragasam		
Meeting location	Training Room, Housing Centre	Produced by	Sharon Terry
Date	14/06/2018	Minutes completed on	19/06/2018
Time	11:30am to 1.30pm		

Section 1 – Update on actions from previous meeting

Description	
1	TRA “Best Practise” Event postponed until the Autumn, pending publication date and RI Calendar of events for the Summer.
2	Minutes of last Meeting, 15 March 2018 were agreed.
3	RIO to support Diana Ward Davis to make travel claim.
4.	JT raised issue of representation for tenants living in Seaside Homes. JT to list key issues and send to Keely McDonald.

Section 2 – Agenda items discussed, agreements and future actions

1.Budget			
Agreements/ Decisions	Update presented by TJ; Residents agreed to provide their own snacks at meetings.		
Actions 1.1	HE to explain to Diana how to claim for travel expenses and how to get taxi vouchers	By Who HE	Deadline asap

2.Training			
Agreement/2.1 Decisions	Group updated on plans for new learning opportunities, that include: Representing your Community; Universal Credit; Using Social Media; Facebook; WhatsApp; Video Editing for Android Phones; How to stay safe online?; Better Communications; Planning an Event - all being offered by local trainers including Digital Brighton & Hove; The Resource Centre and Trust for Developing Communities		
2.2	Residents want to know how these opportunities are being publicised and asked that we do more to reach a wider audience and get the word out. Discussion was had around cost of postage; use of flyers; posters; Homing In; the Housing website, RI Facebook pages, Twitter.		
2.3	Residents asked if trainers would deliver learning at Senior Housing Schemes as many residents living in these schemes cannot get out and about. Diana said that Digital B&H are bringing social media training to Saunders House.		
Action(s) 2.4	Residents and RIT to consider other ways to get the word out that these learnings are available.	RIT	Ongoing
2.5	RIT to establish which learning opportunities would be of interest to Senior Housing tenants and then whether these learnings can be delivered at Schemes.	RIT	Ongoing
3.Update on TRA Handbook			
Agreement/3.1 Decisions	The handbook is being made ready for publishing electronically. Due to resource challenges within the Communications Team responsible for doing this piece of work, publishing the handbook has been delayed.		
Actions: 3.2	HE to confirm with TRAs when the handbook is available on line.	HE	Ongoing
4.TRA “Best Practice” Event			
Agreement/4.1	The group agreed to go ahead with the planning of this event for some time in the Autumn; to coincide with publishing of the handbook;		
Action: 4.2	RIT to keep residents informed	RIT	Ongoing
5.Citywide Conference Update			
5.1	The Citywide Conference is being held on 4 September from 130pm to 430pm at the Friends Meeting House in Ship Street;		
5.2	Citywide Conference Subgroup met to discuss the theme for the September event and agreed that the focus would be on Green Spaces, CityClean and Field Officers;		
5.3	Subgroup agreed format for the event and breakout group sessions x 3 with longer time for questions and answers		
5.4	Subgroup confirmed that Hawks Café are doing the catering.		
5.5	Subgroup agreed to include in the post-event evaluation something about alternative venues for the next event to gauge residents preferences e.g. Dorset Gardens Methodist church, Middle Street School.		
5.6	Agreed that elections for new Chair and Vice Chair would take place at the next Citywide Conference and to remember to include this on the agenda.		

5.7	Diana requested that she be sent a letter inviting her to these meetings. She does not always get her emails and her phone doesn't always work.		
Action: 5.8	Next meeting 19 July at 10am at Hereford Court	Subgroup	19/07
5.9	HE to invite a member of the CETS team to attend	HE	asap
5.10	Rebecca Mann to ensure Diana is invited to next meetings by letter.		
6.SIG Terms of Reference			
Agreement 6.1	Group feedback comments and suggestions for updating the Terms of Reference for Service Improvement Groups;		
6.2	There was not enough time in the meeting to consider the whole document. Residents agreed to include this on the agenda for the next meeting.		
6.3	The group agreed that all the other SIGs should be notified that this review is taking place and to share the proposed changes this group are considering with them for their feedback in time for the September meeting.		
Action: 6.4	To include on agenda for 13 September meeting;	RIT	13/09
6.5	To circulate to other SIGs for their feedback	HE	By 13/09
7.Business Plan Update			
Agreement 7.1	The group started to update their business plan and then ran out of time.		
7.2	The group requested that the initial amendments be made and the revised business plan brought to the next meeting in September for finalisation.		
Action: 7.3	HE to update Business Plan and bring to the next meeting	HE	Ongoing
7.4	HE to include I&E SIG Business Plan on next agenda	HE	June
8. AOB			
8.1	ST advised group of her 12 week secondment from 25 June to 17 September - and HE agreed to oversee the group until STs return	ST	Ongoing
8.2	Residents asked for SIG Elections to be added to the agenda for the September meeting	HE	Sept
8.3	Group to agree dates for the next 4 meetings	SIG	Sept
8.4	72 seconds silence was held in memory of the Grenfell disaster	All	
8.Date and Time of Next Meetings			
	Thursday, 13 September, 1130am to 130pm		

Section 3 – Agenda for next meeting

1	Welcome and apologies
2	Minutes / update on actions from previous meeting
3	Resident Involvement Budget

4	Training Update
5	TRA Handbook and Best Practise Event
6	Citywide Conference Update
7	Terms of Reference Update
8	I&E SIG Business Plan
9	Elections
10	AOB